UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

l	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
٠	10/694,528	10/27/2003	Sadeg M. Faris	REVEO-0172	1897
	26665 REVEO, INC.	7590 03/29/200	· · ·	EXAMINER	
	3 WESTCHES			PHASGE, ARUN S	
ELMSFORD, NY 10523				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1753		
_					
	SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		NTHS	03/29/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			4,528	FARIS, SADEG M.					
			iner	Art Unit					
			S. Phasge	1753					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears on	the cover sheet with	h the correspondence a	ddress				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on : .							
2a)□	,	2b) This action	is non-final.						
3)	rs, prosecution as to th	e merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the a	pplication.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	re withdrawn from	consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election	n requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers								
9)[	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) ☐ accepted o	r b) objected to b	y the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing	(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				•				
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority			aliantian Na					
	<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies</li></ul>		·	·	l Ctoop				
	_ '	•		eceived in this National	Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachmen			_						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	OTO-048\	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date					
3) X Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	10-340)	5) Notice of Info	ormal Patent Application					
	r No(s)/Mail Date		6) 🔲 Other:	<u>-</u> ·					

## DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-6 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with functional or operational language. The structure, which goes to make up the device, must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/694,528

Art Unit: 1753

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tran et al. (Tran), U.S. Patent 6,246,187.

The Tran patent discloses the claimed staged deionization system comprising a first and second deionization subsystems and the electrical connections as claimed, with the plumbing and valves configured and constructed to be reusable and the deionization systems are modular (see claims 1-9 and column 9).

Therefore, since the Tran patent discloses each and every structural limitation, the claims are anticipated.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Andelman, U.S. Patent 5,620,597.

The Andelman patent discloses the claimed staged deionization system comprising a first and second deionization subsystems and the electrical connections as claimed, with the plumbing and valves configured and constructed to be reusable and the deionization systems are modular (see claims 1-28 and column 9).

Consequently, since the Andelman patent discloses each and every structural limitation, the claims are anticipated.

Art Unit: 1753

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arun S. Phasge whose telephone number is (571) 272-1345. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY, 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam X. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/694,528

Art Unit: 1753

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Arun S. Phasge Primary Examiner Art Unit 1753